

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/541,001	03/31/2000		James S. Bratsanos	E-989	1962	
919	7590	10/04/2004		EXAMINER		
PITNEY B			PHAM, THIERRY L			
35 WATERY P.O. BOX 30		IVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MSC 26-22			2624			
SHELTON,	CT 0648	34-8000	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
	Office Antique Comment	09/541,001	BRATSANOS ET	BRATSANOS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thierry L Pham	2624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Amendment filed 6/22/04.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)[🖂	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur		A collection blo					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •	Stago				
	application from the International Bu		Treceived in this Ivational	Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	1 1 1	received.					
		·						
Attachmen	t(e)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	B) Paper No((s)/Mail Date	0.452)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PT)	U-152)				
_		, 						

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 6/22/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cordery et al (U.S. 5628249).

Regarding claim 1, Cordery discloses a method for modifying print stream data in a printing system, said methods comprising the steps of:

- (a) sending (sending print data from host computer, fig. 3) a print stream data (job data, fig. 1) from a processing application (word processing application, fig. 2) to a print spooler (printer controller, fig. 3, col. 4, lines 24-37));
- (b) determining, in a document driver (driver 37, fig. 2), whether or not said print stream comprises text data (driver 37 determines and extracts address data (text data) from the document data, col. 3, lines 53-58), and;
- (i) if said print stream comprises text data then tagging (saves text data (address data) in the envelop data buffer, fig. 4) said text data and sending tagged text data to a user module (operator interface, fig. 4) for further parsing; or
- (ii) if said print stream does not comprises text data then sending said print stream to a direct data injection step for a document printer (sends job data to the document print engine, fig. 4);
- (c) storing said tagged text in a local buffer (saves text data (address data) in the envelop data buffer, fig. 4);

Art Unit: 2624

(d) retrieving said tagged text from said local buffer and determining whether or not an address is contained within said tagged text (driver 37 determines and extracts address data (text data) from the document data, col. 3, lines 53-58); and

- (i) if an address is found in said tagged text, then placing said address in an envelop print format (envelope printer driver for converting address data to envelop format, fig. 4, col. 6, lines 35-48) to create an envelop data set; and
- (ii) if an address is not found then sending said tagged text directly to said data injection step (sends document data to the document printer driver, fig. 4);
- (e) creating an envelop printer device context (job data comprising envelop data, figs. 2 and 4) from the document driver (driver 37, fig. 2) and transmitting (cable connecting to envelope printer driver, fig. 4) said envelop data set to an envelop printer driver (envelope printer driver 119, fig. 2) for creating an envelop printer device language file (envelope print data, fig. 4, col. 3, lines 24-36);
- (f) reading (MFU controller, fig. 3) said printer device language (PDL, fig. 4) and then injecting said envelop data set into said print stream so that the envelop data (envelope data, fig. 4) may be transmitted to the envelop printer (sends envelope data to envelope printer, fig. 4) and the document data to the document printer (sends document data to document printer, fig. 4) and (g) transmitting said print stream to a next destination (printer controller, col. 4, lines 24-38).

Regarding claim 2, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said print stream is passed through a graphical device interface (GDI) (operator interface, fig. 4) when being sent from said data processing application to said print spooler to form a GDI print stream (operator interface, fig. 4).

Regarding claim 3, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said print stream comprises control data (job data comprises job header 12, fig. 1, col. 2, lines 54-67).

Regarding claim 4, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said local buffer stores said tagged text until at least one end-of-page

Art Unit: 2624

control mark (end of job marker, Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 37-40 and col. 4, lines 39-49) is received in said local buffer.

Regarding claim 5, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said tagged text stored in said local buffer cannot be retrieved until said stored tagged text has received an end of page control mark (an end-of-job code is detected and the controller recognizes that the last envelop is in drying buffer, col. 6, lines 49-67 and col. 7, lines 1-7) for said stored tagged text sought to be retrieved.

Regarding claim 6, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said data processing application is a mailpiece designer application (Microsoft Word Processing Application, col. 3, lines 40-67 and col. 4, lines 1-6).

Regarding claim 7, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said mailpiece designer application is capable of presenting a data entry screen to a system user for performing the further steps of:

- (a) creating and/or modifying a mailpiece definition file (col. 3, lines 40-52); and
- (b) storing and/or retrieving one or more mailpiece definition files wherein each of said files corresponds to a specific mail print run (col. 3, lines 40-52). It is known in the art that Microsoft Word is capable of creating and/or modifying any word documents (including mailpiece definition files) and storing and/or retrieving mailpiece definition files.

Regarding claim 8, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said print stream comprises a control page wizard (job header, col. 2, lines 54-67).

Regarding claim 9, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said control page wizard is utilized to facilitate mail merge functionality (driver 37 merges document data with feeder selection data, Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 40-52) within said printing system.

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 10, Cordery further discloses a method of modifying print stream data in a printing system, wherein said GDI print stream is converted by a document printer command language (PCL) generator into an envelope printer language (PDL, Fig. 4 and Envelop Printer Driver, col. 5, lines 46-58).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, the applicants argued the prior art taught by Cordery does not teach steps b(i), b (ii), e and f.

In response, Cordery explicitly teaches (b) determining, in a document driver (driver 37, fig. 2), whether or not said print stream comprises text data (driver 37 determines and extracts address data (text data) from the document data, col. 3, lines 53-58), and;

- (i) if said print stream comprises text data then tagging (if the print job comprising text data (i.e. address data), then extracting and tagging the address data from the print job and forwarding to an envelop data buffer and further parsing to the operator interface, fig. 4) said text data and sending tagged text data to a user module (operator interface, fig. 4) for further parsing (address data are further forwarded to an envelope printer, fig. 4); or
- (ii) if said print stream does not comprises text data then sending said print stream for direct data injection step for a document printer (sends job data to the document print engine, fig. 4);
- (e) creating an envelop printer device context (job data comprising envelop data, figs. 2 and 4) from the document driver (driver 37, fig. 2) and transmitting (cable connecting to envelope printer driver, fig. 4) said envelop data set to an envelop printer driver (envelope printer driver 119, fig. 2) for creating an envelop printer device language file (envelope print data, fig. 4, col. 3, lines 24-36);
- (f) reading (MFU controller, fig. 3) said printer device language (PDL, fig. 4) and then injecting said envelop data set into said print stream so that the envelop data (envelope data, fig. 4) may be

Art Unit: 2624

transmitted to the envelop printer (sends envelope data to envelope printer, fig. 4) and the document data to the document printer (sends document data to document printer, fig. 4).

-Cordery clearly teaches a printing system for sending envelope data to an envelope printer and document data to document printer using driver 37 as shown in fig. 2, col. 3, lines 54-67.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-1897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on (703)308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GABRIEL GARCIAL PRIMARY EXAMINER